

**PDO: (Project Development Objective): Status of poor in the targeted villages improved through/ Increased Income/ Improved Standard of living/ Improved Social status**

**Component – 1 : Capacity building of and for Poor**

<b>OVI as per Results Framework</b>	<b>Progress against OVI</b>	<b>Remarks</b>																																				
<b>OUTPUT 1: The poor are organized and empowered</b>																																						
<p>1.1 At least 100000 poor families (or 1.7 lac members) in the project districts are organized into CIGs and financed by EOP</p>	<p><b>2,46,330</b> members organized in to CIGs and Sub-project of <b>213900</b> members sanctioned.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">S.No.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Sector</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Members to whom S.P. sanctioned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td>Dairy</td> <td style="text-align: right;">57900</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td>Goat Rearing</td> <td style="text-align: right;">42185</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td>Van Jan Shakti</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3815</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">4.</td> <td>Textile</td> <td style="text-align: right;">15895</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">5.</td> <td>Leather work</td> <td style="text-align: right;">374</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">6.</td> <td>Handicraft</td> <td style="text-align: right;">638</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">7.</td> <td>Cane based</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1518</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8.</td> <td>Tent house related activities</td> <td style="text-align: right;">15444</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">9.</td> <td>Service Sector</td> <td style="text-align: right;">10813</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">10.</td> <td>Others</td> <td style="text-align: right;">65318</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><b>Total</b></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><b>213900</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S.No.	Sector	Members to whom S.P. sanctioned	1	Dairy	57900	2	Goat Rearing	42185	3	Van Jan Shakti	3815	4.	Textile	15895	5.	Leather work	374	6.	Handicraft	638	7.	Cane based	1518	8.	Tent house related activities	15444	9.	Service Sector	10813	10.	Others	65318	<b>Total</b>		<b>213900</b>	
S.No.	Sector	Members to whom S.P. sanctioned																																				
1	Dairy	57900																																				
2	Goat Rearing	42185																																				
3	Van Jan Shakti	3815																																				
4.	Textile	15895																																				
5.	Leather work	374																																				
6.	Handicraft	638																																				
7.	Cane based	1518																																				
8.	Tent house related activities	15444																																				
9.	Service Sector	10813																																				
10.	Others	65318																																				
<b>Total</b>		<b>213900</b>																																				
<p>1.2 At least 33% of CIGs who have been approved sub-projects, are active and sustained (score B against 'Quality &amp; Sustainability" parameters) by EOP.</p>	<p>845 CIGs have been evaluated, 436 CIGs in A and 409 CIGs in 'B' category.</p>	<p>80 NGOs have signed contract of Q&amp;S for 10536 CIGs (83.70%), out of 12588 (IG) CIGs whose sub-projects have been sanctioned.</p>																																				

<p>1.3 At least 3500 CIGs are members of Cluster Associations (CA) including at least 10 non dairy CAs are functioning as business enterprises by EOP</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.No.</th> <th>Cluster</th> <th>No. of Cluster</th> <th>No of CIGs</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Dairy</td> <td>8 (Milk Union) {1137 DCS}</td> <td>2446 Dairy CIGs (69.89%)linked with milk union</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Goat rearing</td> <td>3</td> <td>274</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Readymade Garment Stitching</td> <td>1</td> <td>23</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>12</b></td> <td><b>2743 (78.37%)</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S.No.	Cluster	No. of Cluster	No of CIGs	1	Dairy	8 (Milk Union) {1137 DCS}	2446 Dairy CIGs (69.89%)linked with milk union	2	Goat rearing	3	274	3	Readymade Garment Stitching	1	23	<b>Total</b>		<b>12</b>	<b>2743 (78.37%)</b>	
	S.No.	Cluster	No. of Cluster	No of CIGs																		
	1	Dairy	8 (Milk Union) {1137 DCS}	2446 Dairy CIGs (69.89%)linked with milk union																		
	2	Goat rearing	3	274																		
	3	Readymade Garment Stitching	1	23																		
<b>Total</b>		<b>12</b>	<b>2743 (78.37%)</b>																			

## OUTPUT 2 : Livelihood Skill developed among the poor

<p>2.1 At least 50% of the CIGs formed have received basic management orientation</p>	<p>12992 CIGs (56.34%) out of 23060 CIGs formed have been imparted basic orientation training, in which 1,39,649 (56.40%) out of 247868 members participated.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="561 338 1211 583"> <thead> <tr> <th>AMT</th> <th>SBT</th> <th>MEMT</th> <th>Design Dev.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Dairy 3029 Goat ,Sheep , Pig &amp; Poultry -2689</td> <td>Micro 1588 LBA 1007 Livestock FAW 648 DCS 764 AIW 120</td> <td>MEMT I 199 MEMT II 115</td> <td>54</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	AMT	SBT	MEMT	Design Dev.	Dairy 3029 Goat ,Sheep , Pig & Poultry -2689	Micro 1588 LBA 1007 Livestock FAW 648 DCS 764 AIW 120	MEMT I 199 MEMT II 115	54													
AMT	SBT	MEMT	Design Dev.																			
Dairy 3029 Goat ,Sheep , Pig & Poultry -2689	Micro 1588 LBA 1007 Livestock FAW 648 DCS 764 AIW 120	MEMT I 199 MEMT II 115	54																			
<p>2.2 At least 25 % of CIGs who have been approved sub-projects of micro enterprises have acquired livelihood skills and used them in sustained manner</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>Skill Improvement training imparted</u></b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="561 653 1211 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sector</th> <th>Total CIG</th> <th>CIGs imparted training</th> <th>Percentage (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>Micro Enterprises</b> Textile Leather work Handicraft Cane based Tent house related activities</td> <td><b>1844</b></td> <td><b>1694</b></td> <td><b>91.86</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Dairy</b></td> <td><b>3093</b></td> <td><b>3029</b></td> <td><b>97.93</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Goat and Sheep rearing</b></td> <td><b>2843</b></td> <td><b>2689</b></td> <td><b>94.58</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>7780</b></td> <td><b>7412</b></td> <td><b>95.27</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sector	Total CIG	CIGs imparted training	Percentage (%)	<b>Micro Enterprises</b> Textile Leather work Handicraft Cane based Tent house related activities	<b>1844</b>	<b>1694</b>	<b>91.86</b>	<b>Dairy</b>	<b>3093</b>	<b>3029</b>	<b>97.93</b>	<b>Goat and Sheep rearing</b>	<b>2843</b>	<b>2689</b>	<b>94.58</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>7780</b>	<b>7412</b>	<b>95.27</b>	
Sector	Total CIG	CIGs imparted training	Percentage (%)																			
<b>Micro Enterprises</b> Textile Leather work Handicraft Cane based Tent house related activities	<b>1844</b>	<b>1694</b>	<b>91.86</b>																			
<b>Dairy</b>	<b>3093</b>	<b>3029</b>	<b>97.93</b>																			
<b>Goat and Sheep rearing</b>	<b>2843</b>	<b>2689</b>	<b>94.58</b>																			
<b>Total</b>	<b>7780</b>	<b>7412</b>	<b>95.27</b>																			
<p>2.3 At least 2500 CIGs have received services on continued basis by the para-professionals trained by the project</p>	<p>No. of Para accountant trained = 350 No. of Para vets trained = 120 (40 Training Completed and 80 under training) No. of First Aid workers trained = 938</p>	<p>Para accountants were trained by the project to facilitate CIGs in maintaining their accounts on payment basis. No CIG is taking services of the para accountants. However guideline for livestock first aid worker trained as AI Technician has been issued. It is expected that CIGs would take services of these professionals.</p>																				

<b>OUTPUT 3: Strengthened abilities of district line departments, NGOs and private technical agencies to respond to community demand driven proposals to target efforts and deliver better quality services to the poor in a timely manner.</b>									Remarks	
3.1 75% of CIF proposals approved against submission as per delivery standards at any given time;	Average time taken in sanctioning of sub project by the DPMU. (In months)								This data is based upon presentation made by 47 NGOs on 30.11.06- 1.12.06  Deliveing Standard = 0.5 months	
	<b>Dist.</b>	<b>Baran</b>	<b>Churu</b>	<b>Dausa</b>	<b>Dholpur</b>	<b>Jhalawar</b>	<b>Rajsamand</b>	<b>Tonk</b>		<b>State Average</b>
	<b>No. of NGOs</b>	11	4	3	4	8	15	2		47
	<b>No. of CIGs financed</b>	1794	756	435	728	1991	2216	325		8245
	<b>Average Time taken (in months)</b>	0.91	0.98	2.23	0.79	1	1	3	1.27	
3.2 30% of CIF proposals are implemented as per delivery standards at any given time	Average time taken in implementation of sub project . (In months)								This data is based upon presentation made by 47 NGOs on 30.11.06- 1.12.06  Deliveing Standard = 6 months	
	<b>Dist.</b>	<b>Baran</b>	<b>Churu</b>	<b>Dausa</b>	<b>Dholpur</b>	<b>Jhalawar</b>	<b>Rajsamand</b>	<b>Tonk</b>		<b>State Average</b>
	<b>No. of NGOs</b>	11	4	3	4	8	15	2		47
	<b>No. of CIGs financed</b>	1794	756	435	728	1991	2216	325		8245
	<b>Average Time taken (in months)</b>	4.84	1.29	1.31	2.64	5	3	3	3.52	

**OUTPUT 4 : PRIs involved in the process of DPIP at the village level**

<p>4.1 About 75% of Completion Certificates approved against submission by the GPs as per given standards at any given time.</p>	<p>As per DPM, Jhalawar, 3 months average time taken by the Gram Sabha in approving the CCs. However, this will be captured in Impact Evaluation</p>	<p>(9468 CCs have been approved by Gram Sabha) <b><u>Distrcitwise List upto 30/11/07</u></b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="846 428 1484 1024"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.No.</th> <th>District</th> <th>CCs</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Baran</td> <td>1262</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Churu</td> <td>1216</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Dausa</td> <td>1095</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Dholpur</td> <td>1338</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Jhalawar</td> <td>2018</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>Rajsamand</td> <td>926</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td>Tonk</td> <td>1613</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>Total</b></td> <td>9468</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S.No.	District	CCs	1.	Baran	1262	2.	Churu	1216	3.	Dausa	1095	4.	Dholpur	1338	5.	Jhalawar	2018	6.	Rajsamand	926	7.	Tonk	1613	<b>Total</b>		9468
S.No.	District	CCs																											
1.	Baran	1262																											
2.	Churu	1216																											
3.	Dausa	1095																											
4.	Dholpur	1338																											
5.	Jhalawar	2018																											
6.	Rajsamand	926																											
7.	Tonk	1613																											
<b>Total</b>		9468																											
<p>4.2 GPs plays facilitating role in CIG activity (viz. allotment of land, implementation of CIF community infrastructure, etc.)</p>	<p>In Jhalawar district Gram Panchayat provided land for 955 works (Infra sub-project)</p>	<p>Yes, GPs play facilitating role in selection of Community Infrastructure activities.</p>																											

<b>Component – 2: Community Investment Fund</b>		<b>Remarks</b>																																																						
<b>OUTPUT: 1 Increased livelihood opportunities in sustained manner</b>																																																								
<b>OVI as per Results Framework</b>	<b>Progress against OVI</b>																																																							
1.1 INR 4880 Mln. disbursed and INR 320 Mln. community contribution mobilized	INR 4574.46 Mln.(93.74 %)disbursed and INR 857.62 Mln (268.01 %) (Approx) Community contribution mobilized. <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>District wise Detail</u></b></p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.No.</th> <th>District</th> <th>CIF disbursed in Mln (Rs.)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>1</td><td>Baran</td><td>595.46</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>Churu</td><td>620.52</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>Dausa</td><td>607.11</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>Dholpur</td><td>483.74</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>Jhalawar</td><td>789.32</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>Rajsamand</td><td>737.54</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>Tonk</td><td>740.38</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>4574.07</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S.No.	District	CIF disbursed in Mln (Rs.)	1	Baran	595.46	2	Churu	620.52	3	Dausa	607.11	4	Dholpur	483.74	5	Jhalawar	789.32	6	Rajsamand	737.54	7	Tonk	740.38	<b>Total</b>		<b>4574.07</b>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>Districtwise Detail</u></b></p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No</th> <th>District</th> <th>CIF allocated (Revised ) (Rs. In lacs)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>1</td><td>Baran</td><td>6301.00</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>Churu</td><td>6762.00</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>Dausa</td><td>6243.00</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>Dholpur</td><td>4934.00</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>Jhalawar</td><td>8018.00</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>Rajsamand</td><td>7317.00</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>Tonk</td><td>7637.00</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>47212.00</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S. No	District	CIF allocated (Revised ) (Rs. In lacs)	1	Baran	6301.00	2	Churu	6762.00	3	Dausa	6243.00	4	Dholpur	4934.00	5	Jhalawar	8018.00	6	Rajsamand	7317.00	7	Tonk	7637.00	<b>Total</b>		<b>47212.00</b>
S.No.	District	CIF disbursed in Mln (Rs.)																																																						
1	Baran	595.46																																																						
2	Churu	620.52																																																						
3	Dausa	607.11																																																						
4	Dholpur	483.74																																																						
5	Jhalawar	789.32																																																						
6	Rajsamand	737.54																																																						
7	Tonk	740.38																																																						
<b>Total</b>		<b>4574.07</b>																																																						
S. No	District	CIF allocated (Revised ) (Rs. In lacs)																																																						
1	Baran	6301.00																																																						
2	Churu	6762.00																																																						
3	Dausa	6243.00																																																						
4	Dholpur	4934.00																																																						
5	Jhalawar	8018.00																																																						
6	Rajsamand	7317.00																																																						
7	Tonk	7637.00																																																						
<b>Total</b>		<b>47212.00</b>																																																						
1.2 At least 33% of CIGs and 25% of Cluster Association are engaged in economic activity in a sustained manner by EOP	8 Cluster of dairy and 1 clusters of Goatry are engaged in economic activity in a sustained manner (76.92% CAs and 84.46% CIG)	The average monthly income of CIGs as reported by 47 NGOs for 7144 CIGs is exhibited at annexure 'I'																																																						
<b>OUTPUT: 2 Improved access as poor economic and social infrastructure and services.</b>																																																								
2.1 60% target households reporting increased use of services	1.	This data would be captured by the impact assessment. <b><u>List of Services:-</u></b> 1. Road 2. Drinking Water 3. School 4. Sanitation 5. Community Hall																																																						

<b>Component – 3: State &amp; District Project Management</b>														
<b>OUTPUT:1</b> The project is well managed and coordinated at the state, district and cluster levels														
<b>OVI as per Results Framework</b>	<b>Progress against OVI</b>													
1.1 Effective fund flow from the project to the beneficiary groups and service providers as per delivery standards	A revolving fund of Rs.70.00 lacs has been created at all DPMUs. There is no problem of fund flow to beneficiary groups and services providers, as per delivery Standards.													
1.2 100 % of project staff (GO & NGO) are trained on project processes and skills by at any given time	Almost 100% of project staff (NGO) (except a very few, who has joined in the recent past) has been trained by the lead training agency IGPR & GVS Jaipur, on project process and skills through 134 training and workshops. Position of staff is as under	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Staff</th> <th>Consultant</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>SPMU</td> <td>37</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DPMUs</td> <td>121</td> <td>--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NGOs Jhalawar</td> <td>178</td> <td>--</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Staff	Consultant	SPMU	37	2	DPMUs	121	--	NGOs Jhalawar	178	--
	Staff	Consultant												
SPMU	37	2												
DPMUs	121	--												
NGOs Jhalawar	178	--												
1.3 Staff appraisal (self appraisal against ToRs) & Service provider performance appraisal system in place		IDS has been asked to evaluate the performance of various service provider and consultant against their ToRs ToR of officers, consultants and services providers is in place. Self appraisal against ToR has to be started.												
1.4 8-10 nos. of related line depts. and external service providers are involved in the project through MOU and Government Orders	Line Departments such as <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Forest Department</li> <li>2. Industries Department</li> <li>3. PHED</li> <li>4. PWD</li> <li>5. Animal/Husbandry</li> <li>6. Watershed</li> <li>7. Irrigation</li> </ol> are involved in the project through Government orders.													

	<p>Service providers like</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8. RUDA</li> <li>9. IGPR&amp;GVS</li> <li>10. MNIT</li> <li>11. RCDF</li> <li>12. KVK</li> <li>13. IDS</li> <li>14. NABCONS</li> <li>15. General Insurance Company</li> <li>16. BASIX</li> <li>17. MART etc. are involved through MOU/agreement.</li> </ol>																									
<p>1.5 Effective (timely, quality of analysis, etc.) Project Performance tracking (through Computerized MIS) and Process Monitoring system and Feedback system established</p>	<p>Effective Project Performance tracking (through MIS) is in place.</p> <p>The computerized MIS has been developed.</p> <p>Process monitoring System established. Institute of Development Studies is doing process monitoring of DPIP.</p>	<p><b>IDS has Submitted Following PM Reports:-</b></p> <p><b>Ist Phase</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="873 814 1409 1411"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.No.</th> <th>IDS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Process Monitoring in DPIP (July 2002)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Understanding Processes in (DPIP) (Oct.2002)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Understanding Processes in (DPIP) (March 2003)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Process Monitoring in DPIP (March 2003)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Dovetailing (DPIP) Activities with Drought Relief:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>Sub Project Activities in DPIP How Near, How Far from</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>Engaging Women in the Public Sphere Women CIGs in DPIP</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>IInd Phase</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="873 1453 1409 1591"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.No.</th> <th>IDS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Institutional Linkages between</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Skill Augmentation of CIGs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Income generation through</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S.No.	IDS	1	Process Monitoring in DPIP (July 2002)	2	Understanding Processes in (DPIP) (Oct.2002)	3	Understanding Processes in (DPIP) (March 2003)	4	Process Monitoring in DPIP (March 2003)	5	Dovetailing (DPIP) Activities with Drought Relief:	6	Sub Project Activities in DPIP How Near, How Far from	7	Engaging Women in the Public Sphere Women CIGs in DPIP	S.No.	IDS	1.	Institutional Linkages between	2.	Skill Augmentation of CIGs	3.	Income generation through
S.No.	IDS																									
1	Process Monitoring in DPIP (July 2002)																									
2	Understanding Processes in (DPIP) (Oct.2002)																									
3	Understanding Processes in (DPIP) (March 2003)																									
4	Process Monitoring in DPIP (March 2003)																									
5	Dovetailing (DPIP) Activities with Drought Relief:																									
6	Sub Project Activities in DPIP How Near, How Far from																									
7	Engaging Women in the Public Sphere Women CIGs in DPIP																									
S.No.	IDS																									
1.	Institutional Linkages between																									
2.	Skill Augmentation of CIGs																									
3.	Income generation through																									

		3.	Income generation through Sub-Project
		4.	Social Audit of District Poverty Initiative Project in Gram Panchayat
		5.	Linking CIGs to Credit Institutions
		6.	Processing Utilization Certificates and Completion Certificates in District Poverty Initiative Project.
		7.	(a) Goat Cluster in the Sarmathura region of Dholpur “Case Study of a Good Practice”. (b) Q & S efforts: Understanding the role of BASIX in DPIP
1.6 Institutional mechanism established for periodic review of policies, strategies and operational issues involving key project stakeholders at district and state levels	<p>The Governing Council and Empowered Committee review policies, Strategies and operational issues.</p> <p>On 27.06.06 Chairman G.C., hon'ble MRD reviewed the operational issues involving key project stakeholders.</p> <p>Periodic reviews involving strategic and operational issues also being conducted by Pr. Secretary (PR&amp;RD) and SPD, DPIP through Video Conferencing and Meetings.</p> <p>To monitor Q&amp;S of CIGs, a Q&amp;S cell has been established at SPMU and at districts DQST, DLRT, DART and DNFSRT are working to take care of Q&amp;S issues.</p>		